

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/825,896 SEKIYA ET AL.	
	Examiner Ryan Lepisto	Art Unit 2883

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Ryan Lepisto. (3) _____.

(2) Richard Goldman. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 April 2005

Time: 3:00 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Possible 102 rejection of claim 19.

Claims discussed:

19

Prior art documents discussed:

Ohtaka et al (US 4,992,819), Koyama et al (US 4,878,078) and examiner eluded to Clarke et al (US 5,956,163).

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner was given permission to cancel claim 19 with an examiners amendment since the claim would have been rejected in a subsequent action.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Frank G. Font
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)